

Cross-Cultural Online Education: Benefits, Challenges, and Solutions

Changiz Mohiyeddini 

Article Info

Article History

Received:

7 February 2024

Accepted:

2 June 2024

keywords

Cultural diversity

Cross-cultural education

Democratization of
education

Educational equity

Inclusive curriculum

Abstract

Pervasive forms of cross-cultural dynamics are prevalent within our globalized and digitalized educational systems. It is within this global context that contemporary educational systems operate and seek success. By removing geographical and economic barriers, online education has transformed higher education, enabling students from diverse backgrounds to access previously inaccessible programs. This democratization of education promotes educational equity. It increases the chances for students from various socioeconomic backgrounds to access high-quality education and enroll in courses globally without relocating. However, cultural diversity presents challenges for establishing inclusive and equitable cross-cultural online education. Therefore, the objective of this article is to explore the benefits and challenges of cross-cultural online education and propose solutions to promote inclusivity and equity in a globalized educational context.

Introduction

Online education, in its various manifestations, has revolutionized higher education (Means et al., 2010). Within this context, cross-cultural online education (CCOE)—the focus of this inquiry—transcends national and cultural boundaries by abolishing geographical barriers, thereby enabling students from diverse backgrounds to enroll in programs that were previously inaccessible due to physical distance or economic constraints (Altbach & Knight, 2007). Undoubtedly, this global mobility has significantly increased cultural diversity within online classrooms and curricula, extending beyond nationality to encompass linguistic diversity, religious beliefs, socioeconomic backgrounds, and cultural practices (Deardorff et al., 2012; Gunawardena et al., 2003). While this diversity enriches the educational experience, it also requires educators to develop cross-cultural competence—defined as the ability to understand, respect, and respond effectively to the diverse cultural backgrounds of students in online learning environments. Cross-cultural competence is essential for educators to create inclusive and supportive classrooms that accommodate the unique needs of a global student population. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to discuss the opportunities and challenges that CCOE offers and to propose solutions for developing cross-cultural competence in educators.

Advantages and Potential of Cross-Cultural Online Education

CCOE offers a unique set of opportunities that can greatly enrich the educational experience. The following sections explore the specific ways in which CCOE enhances the learning experience, from cultivating diverse

classroom dynamics to facilitating cultural exchange and individualized learning.

Democratizing Education and Educational Equity Through Global Access

A key accomplishment of CCOE is that it enables students to enroll in courses internationally without the need for physical relocation. By removing geographical barriers, CCOE provides students from diverse socioeconomic backgrounds, specifically those in low-income countries, with access to high-quality education from prestigious institutions around the globe (Dhawan, 2020). This geographically unrestricted access to high-quality education contributes to greater educational equity, improves learning outcomes, and enhances vocational prospects for students, thereby democratizing education. This is evidenced by recent empirical research showing that online education platforms have significantly expanded educational opportunities for students in remote and underserved areas, allowing them to access resources and expertise that were previously out of reach (Almarzooq, Lopes, & Kochar, 2020; Dhawan, 2020; Singh & Hardaker, 2017).

Diverse Learning Environments

Cultural diversity enriches the learning environment (Gurin et al., 2002). It offers the opportunity to bring multiple perspectives and diverse viewpoints in the classroom, which fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills as students are exposed to different viewpoints and approaches (Lewis, 2015). In addition, cultural diversity in the classroom can lead to more robust discussions and a deeper understanding of complex socio-cultural issues (Banks, 2016). Students learn to appreciate different cultural norms, values, and habits, which can help them become more culturally proficient, empathetic, and effective communicators. Furthermore, diverse learning environments prepare students to successfully compete in a global labor market by developing their ability to work collaboratively with co-workers and clients from different cultural backgrounds (Deardorff, 2006).

Cultural Exchange and Collaboration

CCOE can facilitate virtual international partnerships, cultural exchanges and collaborations among students from different backgrounds (Helm, 2015). A recent publication by O'Dowd (2021) highlights that virtual exchange programs are effective in enhancing students' intercultural competence and communication skills, helping students gain cultural knowledge about various topics, such as social norms, religious beliefs, and societal issues in other countries. These experiences promote critical thinking and challenge the preconceived notions, stereotypes, or generalizations that students might hold about other cultures, societies, or ways of life. At the same time, they could encourage students to critically reflect on their own cultural perspectives and to be more mindful of the diversity of human culture.

Supporting Strategies for Cross-Cultural Online Education

To ensure these benefits are fully realized, it is essential to implement strategies that foster student engagement

and create inclusive learning experiences.

Creating an Inclusive and Culturally Reflective Learning Environment

Leveraging the benefits of CCOE requires that all students feel valued, and that their unique backgrounds, including cultural identities, are understood and properly reflected in the learning experience. Therefore, educators must be educated and trained to effectively manage and embrace cross-cultural differences in their classrooms. Empirical results show that acknowledging and respecting cultural backgrounds of students increases their engagement and academic performance (Ladson-Billings, 1995). However, it seems plausible to assume that this association may be even stronger in an online learning environment, where students may already feel disconnected due to the lack of face-to-face interaction. For instance, using case studies and examples from various cultures can make the teaching materials more inclusive, relatable, and meaningful to students (Sleeter, 2012; Sung & Mayer, 2019). Moreover, incorporating in-class exercises where students can share their cultural experiences and perspectives in the discussions can enrich the learning experience for all students and enhance their sense of belonging (Chen, Kaczmarek, & Ohyama, 2020; Park & Shea, 2020).

Customizing Learning Experiences to Students' Needs

Advances in technology now allow educators to accommodate diverse cultural backgrounds and tailor learning experiences to better meet individual student needs (Sung & Mayer, 2019). Educational content and learning materials can be tailored to include culturally relevant examples and case studies. In addition, translation tools and multilingual resources can support students who are not native speakers of the course language. By leveraging technology, educators can create inclusive learning environments that cater to the diverse needs and preferences of their students. Empirical data lends support to the notion that personalized learning experiences significantly increase student academic performance (Al-Husban, 2020; Baldwin & Ching, 2019).

Challenges in Implementing Cross-Cultural Online Education

As already highlighted, cultural diversity in educational settings offers many benefits. However, it also presents challenges that educators must address (Vlachopoulos, 2020). The following subsections explore key obstacles in Cross-Cultural Online Education, from overcoming language barriers to addressing systemic inequities, and discuss strategies to help educators navigate these challenges.

Fostering an Environment that Embraces Cultural Diversity

Promoting educational equity and inclusion in a cross-cultural online environment requires more than just acknowledging cultural diversity. It requires creating an environment where all students feel valued and have an equal voice and access to learning opportunities. Therefore, systemic barriers (e.g. language barriers for non-native speakers, limited access to technology and reliable internet, culturally biased curriculum materials, inflexible assessment methods, lack of diverse representation among educators and leaders, implicit bias and

stereotyping, time zone and scheduling conflicts, limited support for students with disabilities, and financial barriers) must be identified and adequately addressed to prevent disadvantages for certain groups of students and to provide sufficient support to help them succeed. Language barriers, different communication styles, and varying educational backgrounds, to name a few, can create misunderstandings and hinder effective communication (Barrot, Llenares, & Del Rosario, 2021; Chun, 2010; Liu & Huang, 2022). Therefore, educators need to be proactive in identifying and addressing these challenges to ensure all students have equal opportunities to succeed (Alqahtani & Rajkhan, 2020).

Language Barriers

UNESCO's 2019 report reveals that a significant proportion of the global population does not have access to education in a language they speak or understand. This fact reveals a critical issue and challenge in CCOE, where language differences are a lived reality and must be bridged to ensure educational equity and effective learning for all students. Certainly, translation tools can help to overcome some obstacles, however, they are far from being perfect, leaving room for misunderstandings. Even advanced translation technologies cannot entirely bridge the gap, as they often fail to capture cultural nuances and context-specific meanings (Fernández Guerra, 2020).

Automated translation, for example, often struggles to capture cultural nuances, idiomatic expressions, and context-specific meanings, which can lead to misunderstandings or even cause unintended offense. Therefore, educators must be aware of these language-related barriers and provide additional support to non-native speakers to ensure they can fully participate in the course. For instance, institutions can mitigate these challenges and offer language support services, such as tutoring and language workshops, specifically designed for non-native speakers (Al-Mahrooqi & Denman, 2018). In addition, providing instructional materials that use simple and clear language, and avoid idiomatic expressions that may confuse non-native speakers can help to overcome language barriers (Fernández Guerra, 2020).

Cultural Sensitivity and Bias

Cultural sensitivity in education involves recognizing and valuing the cultural backgrounds of all students. This key feature of culturally sensitive education, has been shown to promote students' engagement and contributes significantly to their success (Gay, 2018). In contrast, cultural biases and stereotypes undermine the inclusivity of the learning environment and diminish the well-being and learning performance of students (Chaudhary & Dey, 2021). Therefore, in order to create a culturally sensitive curriculum, educators must be adequately trained to proactively work to identify and address both their own biases and those of their students. This, among others, includes being mindful of the cultural backgrounds of their students and avoiding content that may be offensive or exclusionary. Professional development programs focused on cultural competence and inclusive teaching practices can help educators develop the skills needed to create a supportive and respectful learning environment for all students (Howard, 2020; Yang & Baldwin, 2020).

Strategies for Effective Cross-Cultural Online Education

In order to maximize the benefits of Cross-Cultural Online Education (CCOE) and create an inclusive learning environment, it is essential to implement strategies that not only address the diverse needs of students but also equip educators with the skills necessary to foster cultural understanding. Successful CCOE goes beyond simply acknowledging cultural diversity; it requires a proactive approach to ensure that educators are adequately trained, systematic barriers are removed, resources are available, to allow all students to feel valued and represented.

Training Cross-culturally Competent Educators to create an Inclusive Curriculum and Learning Environment

Cross-culturally Competent Educators are teachers and educational professionals who possess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes needed to effectively understand, respect, and respond to the diverse cultural backgrounds of their students. Empirical evidence suggests that an inclusive curriculum improves engagement and learning outcomes but also helps students develop a better understanding of global issues and diverse perspectives (Sleeter, 2012; Gupta & Ahmad, 2022). Using culturally relevant pedagogies that connect learning to students' cultural experiences makes the content more relatable and meaningful (Ladson-Billings, 1995). Therefore, providing educators with training in cultural competency is an imminent necessity for the successful implementation of cross-cultural online education (Bennett & Salonen, 2007; Yang & Baldwin, 2020). Cultural competency in education involves recognizing and respecting the cultural identities of students and incorporating their cultural backgrounds into the learning process (Gay, 2010).

Integrating Practical Skills for Cross-Cultural Classroom Management

Effective cross-cultural training for educators should also include practical tools for managing group dynamics and encouraging equitable participation among students from diverse backgrounds. For example, educators could be trained to use rotation-based group work, which allows students to collaborate with peers from various cultural backgrounds, helping them build interpersonal skills and cultural empathy. Other strategies, such as active listening exercises and culturally sensitive questioning techniques, can empower educators to engage students in ways that honor their unique perspectives. Practical scenarios, role-playing activities, and case studies based on real-life classroom challenges could be incorporated into the training to help educators practice and refine these skills before applying them in their teaching. Such targeted, skill-based training would enable educators to approach cross-cultural interactions confidently and competently.

Furthermore, training programs should include applicable and simple strategies for integrating cultural competence into online teaching (Teräs, 2022). For instance, using culturally diverse examples, fostering an inclusive classroom climate that facilitates discussions that respect and value all students' perspectives, and employing equitable assessment practices have been shown to be impactful approaches to foster inclusive and equitable learning environments (Dwyer et al., 2014).

In addition, inclusive curriculum design requires implementing an iterative process of continuous reflection and adaptation. Educators should solicit feedback from students about the inclusivity of the course content and be willing to make changes based on this feedback (Banks, 2016). This ensures that the curriculum remains relevant and responsive to the needs of all students. Furthermore, providing course materials in multiple languages and translation tools can support non-native speakers.

Providing Access to Cultural Resources and Support Networks

In addition to faculty development programs, institutions can support educators by providing access to resources and networks that enhance their cultural understanding (Banks & Banks, 2010). This support might include connecting educators with cultural liaisons, language experts, or external workshops on intercultural communication. Educational institutions could also develop support networks where educators can share experiences, discuss challenges, and collaboratively develop solutions to common cross-cultural issues. By establishing a system of ongoing support, institutions can help educators continually refine their skills and adapt to the dynamic needs of CCOE, ultimately fostering a richer and more inclusive learning environment.

Implementing Non-Technological Strategies for Cultural Inclusivity

Beyond technological proficiency and reducing dependence on technology, institutions should consider incorporating non-technological methods that foster deeper cultural engagement and a sense of belonging among students (Reich & Ito, 2017). These could include storytelling sessions where students can share cultural experiences, or student-led discussions that bring diverse perspectives into classroom conversations (Alterio, & McDrury, 2003). These activities not only provide a platform for students to express their cultural identities but also encourage authentic exchanges that are often more meaningful than interactions mediated by technology. Such methods allow students to develop cultural competencies in a more interactive-naturalistic and dynamic manner, promoting an inclusive learning environment where every student feels understood, valued and included (Banks, 2016).

Addressing the Cost and Accessibility of Advanced Technologies

Both, the cost and accessibility of advanced technologies, such as high-quality translation services or AI-driven platforms, can create disparities among institutions with different financial resources (Warschauer, 2004). Schools in underserved areas, particularly in developing regions, may not have access to these technologies, which could reinforce existing inequities in cross-cultural education and reinforce the digital divide (Colom, 2020).

To address this gap, institutions should focus on affordable, inclusive methods that allow students from all backgrounds to participate equally. These efforts could involve low-cost but high-impact practices, such as offering multilingual resources, fostering peer language support networks, or developing partnerships that bring in resources from cultural organizations. By acknowledging these challenges, educational institutions can work

toward a more equitable approach to cross-cultural online education.

Promoting A Culture of Cross-Cultural Interactions

Encouraging cross-cultural interactions among students is an important method to promote cross-cultural online education. Creating opportunities for cross-cultural interaction requires careful planning and facilitation. Educators should design activities that require students to collaborate and communicate with peers from different cultural backgrounds. This can include group projects, team-based learning exercises, peer review activities, discussion forums, virtual cultural exchange events, and using discussion prompts that encourage students to share their cultural perspectives (Deardorff, 2006).

Conclusion

The growing cultural diversity within educational settings, global student mobility, and international exchange programs highlight the need for cross-cultural online education. Key advantages include enriched learning experiences through diverse perspectives, enhanced critical thinking and problem-solving skills, and improved intercultural communication—skills essential for the global labor market. However, implementing cross-cultural online education presents challenges such as language barriers, cultural biases, and varying educational backgrounds, which can hinder effective communication and inclusivity.

To maximize the benefits of cross-cultural education, institutions must invest in training culturally competent educators who are skilled in inclusive teaching methods and curriculum design. Solutions include offering professional development in cultural competence, using culturally relevant materials and multilingual resources, and incorporating collaborative activities like virtual exchanges to foster mutual understanding. Additionally, integrating feedback loops and student input can help educators continually adapt curricula to better reflect the diverse needs of their students. Through these strategies, educational institutions can create a supportive learning environment where all students feel valued, have equal opportunities to succeed, and are well-prepared for a diverse workforce. This approach not only advances educational equity but also empowers students to thrive in a globally connected world.

References

- Al-Husban, N. A. (2020). Critical Thinking Skills in Asynchronous Discussion Forums: A Case Study. *International Journal of Technology in Education*, 3(2), 82. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijte.v3i2.22>
- Almarzooq, Z. I., Lopes, M., & Kochar, A. (2020). Virtual Learning During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Disruptive Technology in Graduate Medical Education. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 75(20), 2635–2638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2020.04.015>
- Alqahtani, A. Y., & Rajkhan, A. A. (2020). E-learning critical success factors during the COVID-19 pandemic: A comprehensive analysis of e-learning managerial perspectives. *Education Sciences*, 10(9), 216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10090216>

- Altbach, P. G., & Knight, J. (2007). The internationalization of higher education: Motivations and realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 11(3-4), 290-305. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315307303542>
- Alterio, M., & McDrury, J. (2003). *Learning Through Storytelling in Higher Education: Using Reflection and Experience to Improve Learning* (1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203416655>
- Baldwin, S. J., & Ching, Y. H. (2019). Online course design: A review of the Canvas course evaluation checklist. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 20(3), 268-282. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v20i3.4283>
- Banks, J. A. (. (2016). *Cultural Diversity and Education: Foundations, Curriculum, and Teaching*. Routledge.
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. M. (Eds.). (2010). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons
- Barrot, J. S., Llenares, I. I., & Del Rosario, L. S. (2021). Students' online learning challenges during the pandemic and how they cope with them: The case of the Philippines. *Education and information technologies*, 26(6), 7321-7338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10589-x>
- Bennett, J.M., & Salonen, R.J. (2007). Intercultural Communication and the New American Campus. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning*, 39, 46 - 50.
- Chaudhary, S., & Dey, A. (2021). Teaching inclusively: Cross-cultural perspectives on inclusive teaching in higher education. *Journal of Educational Change*, 22(4), 651-670.
- Chen, E., Kaczmarek, M., & Ohyama, H. (2020). Student perceptions of distance learning strategies during COVID-19. *Journal of Dental Education*, 84(6), 719-722.
- Colom, A. (2020). *The Digital Divide*: by Jan van Dijk, Cambridge, Polity Press, 2020, 208 pp., £17.99 (paperback), ISBN: 978-1-509-534456. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(11), 1706-1708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1781916>
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(1), 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018>
- Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 241-266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306287002>
- Deardorff, D.K., Wit, H.D., Heyl, J.D., & Adams, T. (2012). *The SAGE handbook of international higher education*.
- Dwyer, C. P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2014). An integrated critical thinking framework for the 21st century. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 12, 43-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2013.12.004>
- Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Gay, G. (2018). The Development of Culturally Based Standardized Scale in Visible Learning for Instructional Leaders. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 12(4), 128-140. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-12-4-2>
- Gunawardena, C. N., Wilson, P. L., & Nolla, A. C. (2003). Culture and online education. In M. G. Moore & W. G. Anderson (Eds.), *Handbook of distance education* (pp. 753-775). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Gurin, P., Dey, E. L., Hurtado, S., & Gurin, G. (2002). Diversity and higher education: Theory and impact on

- educational outcomes. *Harvard Educational Review*, 72(3), 330-366.
<https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.72.3.01151786u134n051>
- Fernández Guerra, A. (2012). Translating culture: Problems, strategies and practical realities. *Journal of Literature, Culture and Literary Translation*, 3(1), Art and Subversion. <https://doi.org/10.15291/sic/1.3>
- Gupta, A., & Ahmad, F. (2022). Cross-cultural competence in online education: A framework for designing culturally relevant e-learning environments. *Journal of International Education in Business*, 15(2), 124-139. DOI:10.51594/ijarss.v6i3.895
- Helm, F. (2015). The practices and challenges of telecollaboration in higher education in Europe. *Language Learning & Technology*, 19(2), 197–217.
- Howard, T. C. (2020). *Why Race and Culture Matter in Schools*. Teachers College Press.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a Theory of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465>
- Lewis, E. F. (2015). Teaching crowds: Learning and social media. In *Journal of Open, Flexible and Distance Learning* (Vol. 19, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.61468/jofdl.v19i2.254>
- Liu, Y., & Huang, J. (2022). Cross-cultural challenges in online education: A literature review. *Distance Education*, 43(2), 215-235.
- Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2010). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies. U.S. Department of Education. Retrieved from <https://www2.ed.gov/rschstat/eval/tech/evidence-based-practices/finalreport.pdf>
- O’Dowd, R. (2021). Virtual exchange: moving forward into the next decade. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 34(3), 209–224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2021.1902201>
- Park, Y., & Shea, P. (2020). A review of ten years of online learning research in higher education: A meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 145, 103720. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v24i2.2001>
- Reich, J., & Ito, M. (2017). From good intentions to real outcomes: Equity by design in learning technologies. A Report by the Digital Media and Learning Research Hub. Irvine, CA: University of California.
- Singh, G., & Hardaker, G. (2017). Change levers for unifying top-down and bottom-up approaches to the adoption and diffusion of e-learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 22(6), 736–748. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2017.1289508>
- Sleeter, C. E. (2012). Confronting the Marginalization of Culturally Responsive Pedagogy. *Urban Education*, 47(3), 562–584. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085911431472>
- Sung, E., & Mayer, R. E. (2019). Online multimedia learning with mobile devices and desktop computers: An experimental test of Clark’s methods-not-media hypothesis. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 93, 88-97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.10.022>
- Teräs, M. (2022). Education and technology: Key issues and debates. *Int Rev Educ* 68, 635–636. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-022-09971-9>
- Vlachopoulos, D. (2020). COVID-19: Threat or opportunity for online education? *Higher Learning Research Communication*, 10(1), 16–19. DOI: 10.18870/hlrc.v10i1.1179
- Yang, S., & Baldwin, S. J. (2020). Examining cultural competence in distance education courses: A case study from higher education. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 12(5), 1084-1101.
- UNESCO. (2019, June 4). Enhancing learning of children from diverse language backgrounds: Mother tongue-

based bilingual or multilingual education in the early years. <https://www.unesco.org>

Warschauer, M. (2003). *Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide*. The MIT Press.
<https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/6699.001.0001>

Author Information

Changiz Mohiyeddini

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6677-9710>

Oakland University

William Beaumont School of Medicine

United States

Contact e-mail: mohiyeddini@oakland.edu
